

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

Juan Andres Nuñez Caraballo

Plaintiff,

v.

Hamilton Pharmacy, Inc. and
Adolfo Meregildo,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-1099

COMPLAINT

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS
TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff, Juan Andres Nuñez Caraballo (“Plaintiff”), by and through their attorney, Daniel I. Schlade, complain against Hamilton Pharmacy, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Hamilton”) and Adolfo Meregildo (“Defendant” or “Meregildo”). Hamilton and Meregildo may collectively be referred to as “Defendants”. In support of this Complaint, Plaintiff states:

Introduction

1. This action seeks redress for Defendants’ willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. (“FLSA”), as well as violations of the New York Labor Law, Section 190, *et. seq.* (“NYLL”)

Parties

2. Plaintiff is a resident of New York, New York; and he was employed by Hamilton and Meregildo.

3. Hamilton is a business that is located, headquartered, and conducts business in New York, New York.

4. Meregildo is the owner and Manager Of Hamilton, and they are in charge of its employees. On information and belief, Meregildo is a resident of New York, New York.

5. Defendants are “an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce” under 29 USC § 203(s)(1)(A)(i) and (ii) because they have annual gross volume of sales made or business done of at least \$500,000; and because they are engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce. Additionally, they have more than three employees.

Jurisdiction And Venue

6. The Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over the FLSA claim(s) pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), and 28 U.S.C. §1337; and supplemental jurisdiction over any related state law claim(s) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

7. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York because all underlying facts and transactions occurred in or about New York, New York.

Facts Common To All Claims

8. Hamilton is an “employer” as that term is defined in Section 203 of the FLSA, because it is a privately owned for-profit entity in the state of New York.

9. Meregildo is an “employer” as that term is defined in Section 203 of the FLSA, because: (1) they were Plaintiff’s head “boss” at Hamilton; (2) they had the power to hire and fire the employees, including Plaintiff; (3) they supervised and controlled Plaintiff’s work schedules and conditions of employment; (4) they determined the rate and method of payment for employees; and (5) they maintained employment records.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF **(Failure to Pay Overtime Wages In Violation of the FLSA)**

10. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, as if set forth in full herein for Paragraph 10.

11. Plaintiff began working at Hamilton in or before 2013 until February 4, 2022.

12. At all times, Plaintiff held the same position at Hamilton, he was a cashier. Plaintiff was an “employee” of Defendants as that term is used in Section 203 of the FLSA because he was employed by Defendants to perform cashier and costumer service, and they do not fall into the exceptions or exemptions for workers under the FLSA.

13. Although schedules are subject to change, Plaintiff’s general schedule with Defendants required Plaintiff to work on average 60 hours per week.

14. Plaintiff was paid their wages on a(n) weekly basis.

15. Plaintiff’s wages were not based on the number of jobs performed or completed, nor was it based on the quality or efficiency of their performance.

16. Plaintiff’s rate of pay was \$15 per hour.

17. Throughout the course of Plaintiff’s employment with Defendants, Defendants regularly scheduled and directed Plaintiff to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

18. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff not less than one and a half (1.5) times the regular rate at which he was employed during the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

19. On information and belief, Defendants have failed to keep proper time records tracking Plaintiffs’ time worked; and Defendants’ failure and refusal to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week was a willful violation of the FLSA. Defendant knew or should have known that Plaintiff was entitled to time-and-a-half wages for hours worked in excess of 40 per week, and thus its conduct was willful.

20. Plaintiff is entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages and liquidated damages for up to three (3) years prior to the filing of this lawsuit. On information and belief, this amount includes:

(i) \$15,900.00 in unpaid overtime wages; (ii) liquidated damages of \$15,900.00; and (iii) Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs, to be determined. A calculation of Plaintiff's damages are attached as Exhibit A.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to Pay Overtime Wages In Violation of the NYLL)

21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-20, as if set forth in full herein for this Paragraph 21.

22. This count arises from Defendants' violation of the NYLL, by: failing to pay Plaintiff New York mandated overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in each individual work week in violation of the NYLL.

23. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff the New York-mandated overtime wage rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in an individual work week is a violation of the IMWL.

24. Pursuant to the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages for six (6) years prior to the filing of this suit, which amount totals \$39,300.00.

25. In addition Defendants' violation of the NYLL was willful, and thus Plaintiff is entitled to recover liquidated damages pursuant to the NYLL totaling 39,300.00.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to Issue Accurate Wage Notices In Violation of the NYLL)

26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-25, as if set forth in full herein for this Paragraph 26.

27. NYLL §§ 195(1) and (2) require employers to issue accurate wage notices to employees at the time of their hiring or when any changes to the information required to appear on wage notices occurs.

28. During the six years preceding this Complaint, Plaintiff experienced material changes in their employment, including the rate of pay at which Plaintiff was compensated.

29. During the preceding six years, Defendants unlawfully failed to issue accurate wage notices to Plaintiff by failing to notify Plaintiff of their accurate rate of pay, including overtime pay.

30. Due to Defendants' NYLL violations, Plaintiff is entitled to \$50 per day for every day accurate wage notices were not provided, up to \$5,000 per person, plus interest and attorneys' fees and costs.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Failure to Furnish Accurate Wage Statements/Paystubs)

31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1-30, as if set forth in full herein for this Paragraph 31.

32. NYLL § 195(3) requires employers to furnish employees with accurate wage statements, i.e., pay stubs, that include accurate, inter alia, rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, and hours worked.

33. During the preceding six years, Defendants unlawfully failed to issue accurate wage statements to Plaintiff, including failing to include overtime pay in any such wage statements. 34. Due to Emblem's NYLL violations, Plaintiffs and the NYLL Class Members are each entitled to \$250 per violation up to \$5,000 per person, plus interest and attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Juan Andres Nuñez Caraballo respectfully requests that the Court enter a judgment in their favor and against Defendants Hamilton and Meregildo jointly and severally, for:

- A. An award for Defendant's violations of the FLSA in the amount of unpaid overtime wages for all time worked by Plaintiff in excess of forty (40) hours in individual work weeks, totaling at least \$15,900.00;
- B. An award of liquidated damages for Defendant's willful violations of the FLSA in an amount equal to at least \$15,900.00;
- C. An award for Defendant's violations of the NYLL and/or its regulations, totaling at least \$39,300.00 plus such pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed by law;

- D. An award of liquidated damages for Defendant's willful violations of the NYLL in an amount equal to at least \$39,300.00.
- E. An award of \$50 for each day that Defendants violated NYLL § 195(1) and/or (2), up to \$5,000.00
- F. An award of \$250 for each violation of of NYLL § 195(3) by Defendants, up to \$5,000.00
- G. A declaration that Defendants violated the FLSA;
- H. An award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- I. Any such additional or alternative relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Juan Andres Nuñez Caraballo

s/Daniel I. Schlade
Attorney For Plaintiff

Daniel I. Schlade #5916697
Attorney For Plaintiff
Justicia Laboral, LLC
6232 N. Pulaski, #300
Chicago, IL 60646
773-550-3775
Email: dschlade@justicialaboral.com

danschlade@gmail.com

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY